

**Western Washington University Associated Students**  
**Board of Directors Meeting**  
Wednesday, September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015      VU 6<sup>th</sup> floor

**AS Board Officers:** *Present:* Belina Seare (President) Hannah Brock (VP BusOps), Zachary Dove (VP Academics), Israel Ríos (VP Activities), Abby Ramos (VP Diversity), Patrick Eckroth (VP Governmental Affairs), and Emma Palumbo (VP Student Life).

**Advisor(s):** Eric Alexander (Advisor)

**Guest(s):** Sue Sharpe (Trustee), Andrew Bremel (Student-At-Large), Bryan Corbet (Student-At-Large), Luciane DeAlmeida (Queer Resource Center Employee)

**MOTIONS**

- ASB-15-F-1** Approval of the August 20<sup>th</sup> 2015 minutes with the written changes. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-2** Approve the Legislative Affairs Council Charge and Charter with changing the word “represented” to “representative”. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-3** Approval of the AS Election Appeals Panel Charge and Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-4** Approval of the ESC Steering Committee Charge and Charter with the amendment an addition to the membership. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-5** Approval of the ESC Presidents Council Charge and Charter with adding to the charge section that this committee is in charge of appointing ESC committee seats. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-6** Approval of the Election Board Committee Charge and Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-7** Approval of the Activities Council Charge and Charter with the change from “ASCP” to “ASVP”. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-8** Approval of the Sustainable Action Fund Charge and Charter with the addition of one more student at large and dropping a faculty member under the membership section. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-9** Approval of the Sustainable Action Fund Taskforce Charge and Charter with the removal of one faculty member under the membership section. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-10** Approval of the Management Council Charge and Charter with the adding of one representative from KVIK. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-11** Approval of the Personnel Committee Charge and Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-12** Approval of the Election Advisory Charge and Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-13** Approval of the Structural Review Charge and Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-14** Approve ACUI Conference Funding Request to become an action item because the conference is next week. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-15** Approval to not exceed \$850 FXXBSM and FXXBDS for the ACUI conference VP for Student Life and VP for Activities. *Passed.*

- ASB-15-F-16** Approval of all applicable job descriptions to change from “budget authority” to “student budget coordinator”. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-17** Approve the SPAC rotation changes, to have the REP assessment to be changed from 16-17 to the 17-18 and AS Review move from 17-18 to the 16-17 year. *Passed.*
- ASB-15-F-18** Approval of the Committee Appointments. *Passed.*

*Belina Seare, AS President, called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.*

## **I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**MOTION ASB-15-F-1** by Ríos

Approval of the August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015 with written edits.

Second: Palumbo Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

## **II. REVISIONS TO THE AGENDA**

Eckroth said he would like to add Daniel Edgel under the Legislative Affairs Council under the Committee Appointments section.

## **III. PUBLIC FORUM** (*comments from students and the community*)

Andrew Bermel asked what kind of things were brought up in Board meetings because he has a lot of ideas and he would like to connect to other areas of the universities. What kind of things can be brought up with needs, or ideas? He wanted to see if the university could purchase a piece of equipment for a project, so he was just wondering. Seare said this section is for the public to bring up whatever they want to. It can be any topics on or off the agenda. That is a good question though. Bermel said he is interested in the access to the student government and what kind of resources he has access to. He has a couple projects and he could use some funding and some support. He would like to talk to someone after the meeting. Brock asked what type of project it was. Bermel said he is working on putting a team together to create a renewable energy powered recycling and manufacturing facility with which they would build a boat to compete in the race to Alaska. He believes it is time to start doing things with renewable energy and he would really like some help. He wanted to reach out to the student body to see if anyone can help them connect with others who might be interested in that. Palumbo said she had spoken to him early about the Sustainable Action Fund and she thinks it's the first place she would direct him towards.

## **IV. INFORMATION ITEMS - Guests\***

### **A. Presidential Search**

Seare said this an opportunity for students to learn about the Presidential Search and its process so they invited Sue Sharpe from the Board of Trustees to briefly explain the search process and answer any questions they may have. Sue Sharpe said she is a Trustee here at Western Washington University and she is a local trustee. There are 8 trustee positions, 7 are appointed by the governor and are confirmed by the state senate and they have 1 trustee that are appointed by the governor who is a student and that position has a 1 year term. That student position also goes through a process with the Associated Students as well. The Trustees provide oversight for the university and most of the responsibilities are delegated to different aspects of the campus. The one thing that is an exclusive responsibility of the Trustees is the recruiting and hiring a president. The opportunity doesn't come around often,

it hasn't happened for about 7 or 8 years and the time before that was about 15 years. It is a rare opportunity and it is a time where the Trustees engaged directly with the campus specifically. They do that by coming out and talking to the constituents and making sure the new president would fit with the needs of the campus. The Trustees are committed to working with the campus community to ensure they get the best president for the university. The president will be serving Western for 7-9 years and that is a lot of responsibility of the future of the campus. It is a really important selection process. The way that they have the selection process before is to ensure that they have a fully engaged campus. There are a lot of presidential searching going on right now in the state of Washington, one being the University of Washington. Most Trustees will establish a Presidential Search Advisory Committee. The way they have chosen to do it about Western is unique and they are proud of that. The role of this advisory committee is pretty specific. They are appointed by the Trustees but nominated through the different governance groups. For example the students are nominated through the Associated Students, faculty are nominated through faculty senate and same goes for the classified and professional staff on campus. The responsibility of the Presidential Search Advisory Committee is high, they are the ones who will be doing a lot of the upfront work directly with the search consultant to put together a recommendation to the Trustees of what the position description should look like and that will include what kind of person we are looking for, what they have to have, what does that group envision the challenges of Western to be? This group will provide that feedback to the Trustees. Those on the committee must be engaged in the community and hearing from the community. When the question of "how can I be involved in the search?" comes up, they are talking about the advisory committee and those nominations will be going through the Associated Students. That is only one mechanism that will have 15 people. 3 faculty members, 3 students, 3 Trustees, 1 classified staff and 1 professional staff. There are other groups that have involvement with Western who are off campus so they have 2 community members and an academic administration which would be in the category of a dean position. Their goal is to have those people in place by the third week of November. It is a large time commitment for those on the advisory committee. Another opportunity to get involved is making sure everyone is aware of the many ways they will be seeking feedback. The search advisory committee would be responsible for screening every single applicant and the initial interview with the selected candidates which is usually about 12. Eventually they will be forwarding that to the Board of Trustee who will make the final recommendation. One of the challenge at WWU is that it is a quarter system and only about 10% of the USA is on a quarter system, so they are competing against universities who have already been going for a couple months. They really need to get their information out by Thanksgiving, so they can start recruiting and with the hope that they will have someone in place by March or April. When they talk to people around the country, they think WWU is a special place, but one of the things they hear is that they will be having a lot of interest in this position. Their challenge will be making sure they find the right fit for WWU. Seare said most students have received an email which talks about how the AS will be approaching this. They have created an Orgsync form and it asks some questions. They took the cue from the Board of Trustee to make sure the questions are general so students can have that flexibility in their answers, so they can get an unique insight of the potential students who will be serving on the committee. The applications will be due October 12<sup>th</sup> and will be discussed on October 14<sup>th</sup>'s AS Board meeting. They will be selecting 8-10 students and then they will submit it to the Board of Trustee on October 15<sup>th</sup>. Sharpe said after the Trustees receive those nominations from the AS Board, on October 19<sup>th</sup> there will be an informal meeting so the Trustees can get to know the nominees a little more. Then the Trustees will make the final selection quickly after that. There will be an orientation session, they have a lot to learn and a lot to learn from each other so they want to get a head start on that. Seare asked if anyone had any questions or general ideas about how they can reach out to more students. Guest #1 said that they had a problem with the link and that it wasn't

letting them fill it out. Guest # 2 said that they did the form yesterday and it worked fine. Seare said that if it doesn't work with different browsers and it still doesn't work they can come see her and they will figure it out. Sharpe said there will be a page to the advisory committee and they will want to make sure to look at it frequently for updates. They will also be posting survey links to that page if people want to give their feedback that way, they can. She asked if there will be a lot of interest in joining that committee. Guest # 3 said it is a great opportunity so they believe it will have a lot of interest. Bermel said he wants to reach out to department heads around the university and find some of the issues that are close to the heart and make them visible. He wanted to see if any students are wanting to participate in going out and doing the leg work and seeing what matters to students. Dove said he sits on Faculty Senate and they had two faculty members who were on the last presidential search. They talked about the qualities the next president should have. They had they should be attentive and a good listener. They should be very vocal and a supporter in student interest. They should be widely trusted and able to represent viewpoints they don't necessarily agree with, they should have unquestionable integrity. They should be able to sit in a room and disagree with someone when it goes against their interests and be okay with disagreeing. They noted that the committee time commitment will be fluid and there will be some very busy times and some not so busy times. They talked about the process and there will be a learning stage where they are gathering feedback and after that part ends there won't be as much ways to give feedback to the committee. So if you are looking to get involved and going to the town halls where you can give that feedback, make sure to do that right away because after it closes it will be difficult to give feedback. Seare said what kind of environment or culture within the committee. One of the questions on the survey is about striving to make that space as safe as possible. There may be students on that committee who disagree with the dean of their college and they want to make sure it is a safe space for those individuals. Sharpe said she really appreciates those comments and it was brought up in the last search as well. It is an issue for students as well as classified staff and professional staff. Part of creating the culture of the committee is recognizing that everyone holds the same position on the committee. The success of the committee is their collective commitment to the work that they do. Every organization has their own hierarchal system. They talked about some of the other training issues that they may have. They will work with the Equal Opportunity Office (EOO) office to work with the group so everyone is on the same page and have the same sensitivities. Safety is for all people on the committee and it is a priority to the Board of Trustees. The people who are appointed will have to have that commitment as well. Seare said the AS Board members who will be inviting students to participate on that committee, will hold themselves accountable for anything that may happen in that space. They encourage all students to talk to them to make sure it is alright. Sharpe said as the chair of the search committee, it is a tremendous sensitivity to her. She can assure that the other Trustees also have that commitment. It won't be successful if they can't provide that. They are committed to that. They will be talking more about it as they continue to move forward. Ríos said he figured out why the link isn't working. It is going through Facebook before Orgsync. He suggest to copy the URL and paste it and it should work.

## **X. BOARD REPORTS**

Seare said they will be having the Board Reports earlier in the agenda so that the guests can hear about their individual positions.

Seare reported that she is the AS president. They wanted to frame their reports in a way to say "if you are in need of X, Y, and Z here is what everyone does so everyone knows who to contact". She is a supervisor to the Board of Directors. She deals with internal processes. Recently the Board of

Directors and herself went to ViQueen on Sinclair Island which is owned by the Associated Students. Many Students don't know about the Island or have access to it, so if they have any questions please let them know. When they were there, they decided as a group, they talked about their goals for the year and their priorities of the year and ways to create avenues for student feedback.

Palumbo reported that she is the VP for Student Life. She works with open campus life, outside of academics. If anyone needs anything around sustainability, she chairs the Sustainable Action Fund Committee. She works with transportation, housing, dining, and health and safety through her own committees and university committees. Her big goal for this year is to work on the gender inclusive housing on campus and possibly expanding that on campus. She will be working on reestablishing the Sustainable Action Fund again.

Rios reported that he is the VP for Activities. His position directly oversees the AS Club system and the AS Production. He is the liaison for the Wade King Rec Center and Campus Recreations which includes all the sports clubs. He is also a liaison for athletics and making sure their voices are heard and also making sure there is safety and inclusion at games. He also works with student leadership on campus. One of his main goals this year is they are trying to restructure the club structure to best be helping clubs. He wants to create a space for students to do what they want to do outside of academics and he wants to make sure it is as simple as it can be. He would be happy to listen to everyone's thoughts on the club system.

Ramos reported she is the VP for Diversity and that she oversees the ESC and ROP. She works with those two sides to provide resources for students with identities and marginalized identities. On the university side she works with the president's Diversity Taskforce and the EOO. She tends to work around diversity representation and identity around campus and the AS. Her goals this year are about the ESC being remodeled and seeing where it is going to end up. She is working with Dove about campus climate and classroom climate. They will be working with the faculty senate to see how students exactly feel in the classroom. The hope is to figure out how professors can make the classroom more inclusive.

Dove reported he is the VP for Academic Affairs and he is a liaison between all students and the academic's part of the university. He sits on 17 committees. Historically this position is overworked. If they have questions about Academic policy, curriculum changes, their rights of students and responsibilities and anything to do with academics, he is the one to go to. This year his goal is to work towards equality and inclusivity in education. They are making some headway in Faculty Senate and basically they will be working on a pilot evaluation process which is a way for students to anonymously provide feedback to professors in any point throughout the year. Professors will have to opt in on the process and they are hoping once faculty members see how the process works they would be more willing to use the program. He also wanted to implement debt education and financial literacy training. He also thinks they need to expand academic advising because it is way below the national average. There is a GUR reform process this year. Unfortunately they are only trying to start this process for the accreditors who will be coming in Winter Quarter. They are very vocal about how they are doing this because the accreditor agency are coming. There is a GUR committee and it is already full. They will broadly be thinking of three plans to help the GUR system. They will do a community/ university feedback session to see what everyone wants.

Eckroth reported that he is the VP for Governmental Affairs and he directly oversees the Representation and Engagement Programs (REP) office which houses all the committee systems and the appointments of those committees. It also houses the AS Election process for their 7 Board positions, referendums and initiatives. One of his goals this year is making the election process more accessible and making the timeline more student oriented. The REP office also does the voter registration process and the Get Out the Vote campaign to make sure students vote every year. The REP office also has two liaisons, the Local Issues Coordinator which works with Whatcom

Transportation Authority and City Council. They also have a Legislative Liaison who lobby's the legislator in Olympia winter quarter.

Brock reported that she is the VP for Business and Operations and she directly supervises Personnel Office, Business Office, Assessment Office, and the Communication Office. She is also the liaison with the Publicity Center, ViQueen, Lakewood Outdoor Center, Child Development Center and the AS Bookstore. It is a lot of internal work and she is passionate about transparency with that. She wants to make it more accessible to students. This year she is specifically looking at their Reserve Policy. They have a lot of money in reserves that is not allocated and they want to bring it back to students and they want to get feedback on how they want that money spent and about that process. She is working on a form to make that more accessible. She wants to get more participation on internal committee. They are looking for people for Budget Committee, Communication Committee and Facilities and Services. The Structural Review Committee is happening this year. They are taking a look at the overall structure of the organization. There is a lot of people in the organization who are overworked and they are trying to see what they can do with the entire AS organization.

**V. ACTION ITEMS - Guests\***

**VI. PERSONNEL ITEMS** (*subject to immediate action*)

**VII. ACTION ITEMS - Board\***

**A. Legislative Affairs Council Charge and Charter**

Eckroth said the only few changes, one being to strike "approve" and put "draft" agendas. Everything else that changed is the same as last week. Any questions? Ramos asked with the ESC, is there a deadline for an application or when President's Council need to get this representative. Eckroth said as soon as possible but it is up to that committee. Ramos said could they have their own personal deadline? Eckroth said yes, he figured it would be up to them. Eckroth wanted to change represented to representative.

*ASB-15-F-2* by Eckroth

Approve the Legislative Affairs Council Charge and Charter with changing the word "represented" to "representative".

Second: Brock Vote: 7-0-0 Motion: Passed

**B. AS Election Appeals Panel Charge and Charter**

Eckroth said it should be reflecting all the changes from the last board meeting. A member can't be involved in candidate work or a campaign. Dove suggested possibly adding candidate campaign "at any point". He sees someone being not "on a campaign" but being part of it at some point. Eckroth said he thinks its fine, that's why they have the AS Election Board Committee if they had any questions. Rios said it says "involved" not "currently involved". He thinks its fine.

*ASB-15-F-3* by Palumbo

Approval of the AS Election Appeals Panel Charge and Charter.

Second: Ríos Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed.

**C. ESC Steering Committee Charge and Charter**

Ramos said it has all the changes from last week. She wanted to make a change. She would like to have both the "ESC Support Coordinator, and Marketing and Outreach Coordinator" just in case the meeting doesn't work with their schedules.

*ASB-15-F-4* by Ramos

Approval of the ESC Steering Committee Charge and Charter with the amendment an addition to the membership.

Second: Brock Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

#### **D. ESC Presidents Council Charge and Charter**

Ramos said there wasn't much that they changed in this. There hasn't been a lot of separation between the AS and the ESC. Eckroth said should they add that they will be appointing people to this? Ramos said yes.

*ASB-15-F-5* by Ramos

Approval of the ESC Presidents Council Charge and Charter with adding to the charge section that this committee is in charge of appointing ESC committee seats.

Second: Dove Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

#### **E. Election Board Committee Charge and Charter**

Eckroth said they put the appointment section back on because it shows how they can remove members. Rios said just to be clear, they are adding it back on because he sees it as redundant. Eckroth said it is a larger conversation they should have and for now they should keep in on until they are ready to have that conversation.

*ASB-15-F-6* by Palumbo

Approval of the Election Board Committee Charge and Charter

Second: Eckroth Vote: 6-0-1 Action: Passed

#### **F. Activities Council Charge and Charter**

Ríos said this is the same as the last meeting but with the amendment of the Large Event Opportunity Fund during the summertime. He would also like to change "ASCP" to "ASVP".

*ASB-15-F-7* by Brock

Approval of the Activities Council Charge and Charter with the change from "ASCP" to "ASVP".

Second: Ríos Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

#### **G. Green Energy Fee Charge and Charter**

Palumbo said this should have 3 students at large and 1 faculty member.

*ASB-15-F-8* by Palumbo

Approval of the Sustainable Action Fund Charge and Charter with the addition of one more student at large and dropping a faculty member under the membership section.

Second: Brock Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

#### **H. Sustainable Action Fund Taskforce Charge and Charter**

Palumbo said no other changes were made to this document. She would like to change something under the membership section to have 1 faculty member instead of 2.

*ASB-15-F-9* by Palumbo

Approval of the Sustainable Action Fund Taskforce Charge and Charter with the removal of one faculty member under the membership section.

Second: Eckroth Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

**I. Management Council Charge and Charter**

Brock said nothing has changed. Rios said what about adding KVIK? Brock said yes, they would like to be a part of it.

*ASB-15-F-10* by Brock

Approval of the Management Council Charge and Charter with the adding of one representative from KVIK.

Second: Dove Vote 7-0-0 Action: Passed

**J. Personnel Committee Charge and Charter**

Brock said no changes from the previous meeting. She changed some wording from “consulting” instead of “directing”.

*ASB-15-F- 11* by Brock

Approval of the Personnel Committee Charge and Charter

Second: Palumbo Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

**K. Election Advisory Charge and Charter**

Eckroth said there are no changes to this committee from the last meeting. There were no questions.

*ASB-15-F-12* by Eckroth

Approval of the Election Advisory Charge and Charter

Second: Dove Vote: 7-0-0

Action: Passed

**L. Structural Review Charge and Charter**

Brock said there were no changes to this from the original changes made. No questions were asked.

*ASB-15-F-13* by Brock

Approval of the Structural Review Charge and Charter.

Second: Eckroth Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

**VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS - Board\***

**A. SPAC Charge and Charter**

Brock said they changed a couple things. Instead of “regarding matters” they made it clearer of what this committee will be doing. She added the word quadrennial. She took off marketing and branding because this committee doesn’t do that anymore. Communication office should be working on that. They changed the original “3 AS employees” to (3-5) instead. They changed the title of “Associate Director” to “Director”. Taking off the vice chair because they don’t need one, it can’t go on without the Assessment Coordinator. Under the Quorum section, they changed membership so they stated the “seated members”. Ramos said should it say first priority goes to non-AS employees? Brock said they are making the destination between AS employees or students at large so they don’t have to say “non-AS employees”.

**B. Alternative Transportation Fee Charge and Charter**

Palumbo said they aren't making many changes to this. They are adding another student at large position and that doesn't change quorum. That is all the changes.

**C. Transportation Advisory Committee Charge and Charter**

Palumbo said they just the date changed. Eckroth said they should change the job title to the Local Issues Coordinator now.

**D. Large Event Opportunity Fund Budget**

Rios said they approved the policy last year. They did not vote on an amount that was allocated to the fund. He provided the minutes from three different meeting. They talked about how much money was in the fund which is \$65,000/ He was told it was carried over from what was previously there. Activities Council starts soon and he wanted to get everyone's input on that what that amount should be. He wanted to think and listen before creating a proposal so this is just a discussion item for now. Brock said she thinks keeping it at \$65,000 is a good idea. She's been talking with other programming offices and her history of AS Productions, they could really use this extra money and it is just sitting in reserved right now. Maybe they could do some outreach to show offices that it is there for them to use. Also to make sure not just AS Productions can use it but smaller events can too. Rios said it's really valid. They did have a request this summer for \$15,000 that was approved but it didn't end of occurring so the money never was used. The idea was that it wasn't going to get used so they wanted to lower it to \$45,000 and evaluating it at the end of the year and if they needed more they would raise it to the \$65,000. Ramos asked what would happen if it is over the amount? Rios said they wouldn't be able to give them any more money, they can only give out what they have. Brock said like the Student Staff Development fund, it could be first come first serve. Brock said she will be doing an hour of training during the mid-quarter staff development training to make sure they know about the funds and how to get access to it. Alexander said there was recommendations made by the Business Committee to Activities Council. AS the debate that went on they were resistant to set a maximum because then it would cause folks to possibly ask for more than needed. If 1 person wants \$65,000 then it's wiped out, is that out? Does it have to go to at least 5 groups? It's not only the total amount in the fund that should be up for discussion, should be the amount per office? Amount of office that use it? Rios said there was talk about it. The previous loan fund was just a loan and it had to be paid back. They did get a \$65,000 loan at one point, not all of it ended up getting paid back. They did vote not to have a maximum. Any money that isn't spent goes back into the reserved. Brock said there is a trickle down affect for the reserves. Eckroth said he also wanted to talk about how it said you need it 5 weeks before the event, it's not a lot of time. Eckroth said he thinks it should be longer if they are spending \$60,000. Seare said she worked in the ROP offices, it doesn't work like that in a reality. By the time they communicate their ideas, it's pretty deep into the process and it is only a couple weeks out. Brock said some of the best events that ASP did last minutes. Rios said one of the ways it's used is as a supplement fund. As pop requested funds with just barely 5 weeks. They were already working on it, and its intent was to use some of their budget as well. Some offices have to move quickly but he agrees 5 weeks is cutting it close. Seare said as a suggestion they could provide a suggestion. Rios asked about the number and how the committee was feeling. Brock said she thinks \$65,000. They should think about the order of how funds go into the reserves.

**E. ACUI Conference Funding Request**

Ríos said this is a proposal for himself and Palumbo to go to the ACUI conference in Oregon. He would like to remove the transportation costs because it will be taken care of elsewhere.

Recess for 6:28

Called back to order 6:34

Ríos said the reason they want to go to this conference is because it's a conference for student unions, for the AS, like Casey Hayden's position or Eric's position. There is students there as well. It's a chance to look to see how other things are done by different campuses. They want to look at restructuring the club hub and it will be good for him to see how other club systems work. Seare asked if there would be more students there. Rios said yes, it's a mix of staff and students. Alexander said it has a strong student presence, it's based at the student union, they help engage with the conference. Brock said what are they wanting to take the funding out of? Rios said Board Summer Training and Board Discretionary. Brock thought transferring the funds would work better. Rios said board discretionary had \$2,500. Summer starts with \$2,500. They don't know the exact amount but it has at least \$800 in it. Palumbo said the new total they are requesting is not to exceed \$850.

*ASB-15-F-14* by Eckroth

Approve ACUI Conference Funding Request to become an action item because the conference is next week.

Second: Palumbo Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

*ASB-15-F-15* by Ríos

Approval to not exceed \$850 FXXBSM and FXXBDS for the ACUI conference VP for Student Life and VP for Activities.

Second: Ramos Vote: 5-0-2 Action: Passed

VP for Student Life and VP for Activities obtained.

## **IX. CONSENT ITEMS** *(subject to immediate action)*

### **A. Job Description Universal Changes**

Brock said no documents for this. She would like to change all job descriptions to change from "budget authority" to "student budget coordinator". Essentially the position responsibility will be the same just a title change.

*ASB-15-F-16* by Brock

Approval of all applicable job descriptions to change from "budget authority" to "student budget coordinator".

Second: Ríos Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

### **B. SPAC Rotation Change**

Brock said they wanted to move the REP from 16-17 to the 17-18 year and the AS Review to the 17-18 year. The presidential election process would be during the REP SPAC process and that is a very busy time for that office. There is no fiscal impact on this change. It would make sense with the AS Review as well and Jeff Bates said it was okay. Brock said Bates thought it would be beneficial for the Communications Office and the AS Review at the same time. Eckroth said it makes complete sense. Ríos said have they talked to those offices?

Brock said yes, it was drafted last year. The advisors thought it made sense as well. Ramos said it would be beneficial to do it sooner because they were doing a lot of structure changes last year.

ASB-15-F-17 by Brock

Approve the SPAC rotation changes, to have the REP assessment to be changed from 16-17 to the 17-18 and AS Review move from 17-18 to the 16-17 year.

Second: Eckroth Vote: 7-0-0 Action: Passed

### C. Committee Appointments

#### **Academic Calendar Committee**

Nicole Carroll	History/Social Studies	Junior
----------------	------------------------	--------

#### **Academic Honesty Board**

Kate Welch	Geography	Sophomore
BreAnn Sherrill	Sociology	Junior
Levi Eckman	Clinical Psychology/Political Science	Freshman

#### **Activities Council**

Zoey LeSueur	Business	Freshman
Anujin Ganbat	Intl Business Administration	Freshman
Alexander LaVallee	Interdisciplinary	Junior

#### **Budget Committee**

Alexander LaVallee	Interdisciplinary	Junior
Daniel Higgins-Sanchez	Supply Chain Management	First Year

#### **Election Board**

Tristan de Rochefort	Political Science	Senior
----------------------	-------------------	--------

#### **Graduate Council**

Louie Labrador	MBA; minor in math	Grad Student
Eric Hervol	Biology and Computer Science	Grad Student
Amanda Weis	Biochemistry	Grad Student

#### **Legislative Affairs Council**

Luciane DeAlmeida	Political Science/Economics	Junior
-------------------	-----------------------------	--------

#### **GUR Task Force**

Maya Price	Int'l Business and French	Senior
Nate Christiansen	History	Grad Student

#### **Recreation Center Advisory Committee**

JuanCarlos Perez	Kinesiology	Senior
------------------	-------------	--------

#### **Services and Activities Fee Committee**

Bethany Drake	Studio Art	Senior
---------------	------------	--------

#### **Student Academic Grievance Board**

BreAnn Sherrill	Sociology	Junior
-----------------	-----------	--------

**AS Structure and Programs Advisory Committee**

Zoey LeSueur	Business	Freshman
Anujin Ganbat	Intl. Business Administration	Freshman
Luciane DeAlmeida	Political Science/Economics	Junior
A Blyth	Marginalized Identities: Empowerment Through Law and Education	Junior

**AS Structure Review**

Samantha Goldblatt	Geology	Senior
BreAnn Sherrill	Sociology	Junior
Luciane DeAlmeida	Political Science/Economics	Junior
Daniel Edgel	Economics	Senior

**Sustainable Action Fund Committee**

Meghan Demeter	Environmental Economics; French	Senior
----------------	---------------------------------	--------

**University Sustainability Advisory Committee**

Meghan Demeter	Environmental Economics/French	Senior
----------------	--------------------------------	--------

Rios said he talked to Osman about Budget Committee, and they wondered about AS employees being on that committee and asked whether they should have Alex Lavalee on it because he is an AS Employee? Brock said they try to just have students at large but sometimes that is very hard to do. They try to strive for it, but they find it hard to get people on it. Eckroth said that priority should go to non-AS employees. Brock said there may not be a limit. Eckroth asked about the members of the structural review and whether they should screen those who applied? Rios said those majors are fine.

ASB-15-F-18 Approval of the Committee Appointments.

**Additional Board Reports**

Dove said Student Tech Fee, it will be on next week agenda. Basically he informed John Larson of the charge and charter changes. Larson said they don't have the authority to make those changes without going through a multi-year process. Brock asked if the students had to vote on this fee. Wouldn't it be directly their fee? They would have power over it? Dove said they should have control over it. They did not have a charge and charter until 4 years or so. According to John Larson, if they were to pass the revision, everyone would strike it down and it wouldn't pass on their end. He is hoping Larson will be there next meeting. Alexander said it originated as a Faculty Senate committee, there was never a switch.

Eckroth said there was a Washington Student Association (WSA) had a meeting on Saturday. They didn't do a lot, they had a discussion with each committee. They got more students from WWU appointed to those committees. AS of right now with voter registration they have 2400 at WWU and about 600 combined with every other campuses.

**XI. OTHER BUSINESS**

*Belina Seare, AS President, adjourned the meeting at 7:33 p.m.*